

CRC

for

Premium

Quality

Wool

Follicle Initiation, Wool Growth and Maternal Nutrition

Produced for the CRC for Premium Quality Wool undergraduate program by; Dr. Janelle Hocking Edwards, The University of Western Australia.

www.woolwise.com

© 1999, Wool CRC

Effect of both prenatal and postnatal nutrition on follicle populations and fibre production

- H/H: Above maintenance nutrition during pregnancy and after birth.
- H/L: Above maintenance nutrition during pregnancy and below maintenance after birth
- L/H: Below maintenance nutrition during pregnancy and above maintenance after birth
- L/L: Below maintenance nutrition during pregnancy and after birth.

Premium

© 1999, Wool CRC

CRC

for

Quality	Group	No. fibres (x10 ⁶)	Fibre weight (µg)
Quanty	H/H	64.4 ± 5.53	37.1 ± 2.34
Wool	H/L	68.9 ± 2.89	29.7 ± 1.28
200	L/H	57.9 ± 3.53	37.1 ± 2.04
	L/L	51.8 ± 4.12	36.7 ± 3.12

www.woolwise.com

Janelle Hocking Edwards Source: Schinckel, P.G. and Short, B.F. (1961)



CRC

for

Premium

Quality

Wool

© 1999, Wool CRC

Effect of maternal undernutrition on lifetime wool production of offspring

 Management of ewes to prevent liveweight loss results in hoggets that produce an extra 0.14kg clean wool which is 0.1µm finer (Kelly et al., 1996)

	Maint.	Sub Maint	Р			
Lamb						
CFW (kg)	1.2	1.1	<0.01			
FD (µm)	20.4	20.4	n.s			
Hogget						
CFW (kg)	4.24	4.10	0.1			
FD (µm)	20.7	20.8	<0.05			

www.woolwise.com

Janelle Hocking Edwards Source: Kelly et al., (1996)



© 1999, Wool CRC

Effect of ewe supplementation on lifetime wool production of offspring



www.woolwise.com

Janelle Hocking Edwards Source: Hocking Edwards, J.E & Masters, D.G



The effect of maternal nutrition on offspring follicles and wool production

Ewe liveweight	Lamb S:P	Wool (kg)
72 vs 60kg	21.5 vs 20.0	4.2 vs 4.1
Kelly et al. 1996	(0.4 yrs)	(15 mo)
48 vs 38kg Schinckel & Short 1961	20.4 vs 18.6 (birth)	4.6 vs 4.2
52 vs 44kg	14 vs 10	3.3 vs 3.0
Everitt 1967	(12 wks)	(18 mo)
51, 54, 55kg	15.7, 15.6, 14.5	4.4, 4.5, 4.5
Hocking Edwards <i>et al</i> . (pen)	(birth)	(17 mo)
55, 58, 55kg	15.3, 17.6, 17.9	1.5, 1.3, 1.3
Hocking Edwards <i>et al</i> . (field)	(birth)	(9 mo)

- Feeding ewes to severely lose weight depresses S:P and subsequent wool production of their offspring
- It is unlikely that it would be possible to feed the ewes enough above maintenance to improve follicles

Premium

CRC

for

Quality

Wool



Conclusion

Supplementary feeding ewes beyond the requirements to maintain ewe weight during pregnancy does not improve wool producing ability of their offspring.

However

Quality

CRC

for

Premium

Wool

© 1999, Wool CRC

To maximise wool production, it is important ewes do not lose weight during pregnancy.

www.woolwise.com

Janelle Hocking Edwards



© 1999, Wool CRC

Potential effect of follicle regression on fleece production



If there is a 20% decrease in S:P, this may lead to a:

- 22% decrease in lamb fleece weight
- 16% decrease in second shearing fleece weight

Data from Short (1955), Schinckel and Short (1961), Everitt, (196) and Kelly *et al.* (1994)

Janelle Hocking Edwards